Minutes of January 21 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:
https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869

Attendees (to be confirmed):

Fujitsu: **Mike Denicola**, **Kenji Kazumura**
IBM: **Dan Bandera**, **Kevin Sutter, Ian Robinson**
Oracle: **Will Lyons**, **Bill Shannon**, Ed Bratt, **Dmitry Kornilov**
Payara: **Eliot Martin, Steve Milidge**
Red Hat: **Mark Little, John Clingan**
Tomitribe: **David Blevins, Cesar Hernandez,**
Participant member representative: **Alex Theedom**
Committer member representative: **Arjan Tjims**
(Quorum is 4 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: **Paul White**, **Wayne Beaton**, **Ivar Grimstad**, **Tanja Obradovich**, **Paul Buck**, **Shabnam Mayel**

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes of January 7 meeting were approved.

Minutes of Jan 14 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Jakarta EE 8 Follow-Up

- Publishing spec docs - review the following status doc
  - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/18SraPxRBCOyaS6w-UV6TR-UA1bWy1--sV0ky6msAjWY/edit?usp=sharing
  - Spec copyright assigned for 97.4% of spec documents!
  - Specs contributed for 97.4% of spec documents!
  - The rest is "NA".
  - Providing these docs had been a requirement. Nice job. This was announced on the mailing lists. PMC has approved moving these to the spec projects (per IP process).   These can now be included in regular release processes for Jakarta EE 9.
  - Recommend monitoring update activity. Project board created
- Was the Sonatype migration completed last week?
  - The migration has been completed. If there are issues, please raise in Sonatype tracker.

- ○ The Steering Committee thanks Sonatype for their contribution and support to Jakarta EE!!

Jakarta EE and MicroProfile

- MicroProfile team members have distributed a proposal for a WG for MicroProfile. One of the proposals involves a combined Jakarta EE/MicroProfile Working Group. The intent is that if the combined proposal is recommended, that proposal would be brought to the Jakarta EE WG.
  - ○ The proposal is to have a soft stake in the ground by end of Feb, possibly sooner, and votable proposal end of March
  - ○ Most comments are being made in [MicroProfile GitHub Sandbox](). Proposed modifications are via pull requests and comments often occur on those pull requests.
- Hangout at 11 AM PST using zoom: [https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/949859967]() a continuation of a bi-weekly (now weekly) call to work on input and issues related to working group for MicroProfile. ([MicroProfile calendar]())
- Long discussion of the scope of the Jakarta EE WG, and comparison to a proposed CN4J Working Group. Does the Jakarta EE WG wish to join a CN4J group as described.
- Mike D suggested the idea of having reps from both Jakarta EE and MicroProfile (and possibly EF) to get together and hash this out.

Jakarta EE 9

- Mike blogged about the approval of the plan on Thursday:
  - ○ [https://blogs.eclipse.org/post/mike-milinkovich/moving-forward-jakarta-ee-9]()

    Additional comments
    - As noted last time, should reach out to tools teams and projects (Eclipse, IntelliJ, WDT…) requesting support
    - **Tooling discussion**: Tanja -- Eclipse Che will be willing to pick up support for Jakarta EE. Suggests adding commentary via PRs.
    - **Additional discussion**: Arjan suggests investigating work with plugins. Arjan will take another run at this. Check back on the 28th of Jan.

Discussion on membership fees

- See the proposal approved last time at the following URL: [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1VMXVzdfBflKfhW0rmTJXI2sRAdECaVTXnElZ_RSrUME/edit#]() .

- The Eclipse Foundation will take the necessary steps to implement the proposal, including drafting a Consent Agreement, and preparing a flowchart explaining the process steps. Leaving this topic on the agenda until the topic is closed out.
- Goal is to wrap up by end of January.

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls

- JakartaOne Livestream Japan
  - Last meeting, Kenji reviewed the agenda for the event on Feb 26 - any update?
  - [https://docs.google.com/document/d/17KbwfheYJ0UABIxMdUi27HWvIgF2WCug M53KQ3jy0hg/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/17KbwfheYJ0UABIxMdUi27HWvIgF2WCugM53KQ3jy0hg/edit#)
  - No update from Jan 14 discussion
- Kubecon Europe plan
  - [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uECHm37ziSlVbZEALphHTqtu9XW2YMH wkLwGin7ISwM/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uECHm37ziSlVbZEALphHTqtu9XW2YMHwkLwGin7ISwM/edit#)
  - Sponsorships are available, expecting approximately (up to) 300 participants. Cloud Native Computing Foundation, IBM, and RH and Oracle are sponsors at this time. Would need further commits soon, within the next week.
    - Would expect to follow a similar pattern in Kubecon NA (Boston)
  - Highlighting Strategic WG members is requested.
  - There will be an announcement this week from Eclipse about this event. Shabnam will be reaching out to sponsors for collateral material
- Jakarta EE Update Call Jan 15
  - [https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SX WpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SXWpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#)
  - Worked well, approximately 200 views so far.
  - Next call is Feb 12.
- Jakarta Tech Talks - Tanja is open for suggestions
  - Looking for more topics, had couple of sign ups last week.
  - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJejMYg370tum5mi7zI 4bvkZczcQXiUM/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJejMYg370tum5mi7zI4bvkZczcQXiUM/edit#gid=0)
  - All topics for Cloud Native Java will be considered. Looking for more presentation referrals.
- DevNexus Atlanta -- Feb19-21
  - There will be an Eclipse Foundation booth. Eclipse will be hosting a reception Feb. 19th. **EF is soliciting for help staffing the booth. Please use the booth link to review the schedule and sign up.**

Operationalizing Jakarta EE Program Plan

- Tanja and Will have drafted a document that translates the goals of the 2020 plan:
  - [https://drive.google.com/open?id=1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tIFBc3Ap0Z- e-xdEOnM](https://drive.google.com/open?id=1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tIFBc3Ap0Z-e-xdEOnM)

- ...Into quarterly objectives/milestones/outcomes. We will review.
  - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccxf4aYc-q5aNnuglYR1nl00ZPUcgPeZU9uW8NE/edit#slide=id.g7b69340134_0_132
  - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBilHqKGSOWrcEuc/edit#gid=0
- **Discussion**: All docs in Steering Committee folder, program plans. Committee members can review and comment on these during the next week. Q1 goal suggestions should be reviewed and feedback is requested.
- Reviewed the slide (link above) and also the quarter by quarter goals spreadsheet.
- Committee members can review and comment in the documents and/or directly to Tanja and Will.

Release Cadence discussion (not discussed):

- Discussion about cadence of releases from last time (John C provided the following summary).
  - Spec projects can release at any cadence they can implement to.
  - John C. suggests that slower does not equate to a negative. Stability is also of concern - what cadence do Jakarta EE users want to absorb (based on how they have been deploying Java EE up to this point)?
  - TCK compatibility requirements also have details about how independent releases can be absorbed. We may need to change these requirements, to support flexibility that we want to achieve.
  - The committee would like to identify barriers to complete independence with respect to release schedules. Jakarta may provide opportunities for expanding this flexibility.
  - We could include questions about this in a survey to help refine the community input. There are many possibilities for accomplishing this.
  - Suggested that the committee adopt a statement (or resolution) recommending improvement in the frequency of releases and that we work to identify and perhaps relax requirements that make releases take longer. Then the subcommittees and committer working groups could be asked to provide feedback about their processes and requirements that could be changed to meet this goal.
- What is the proposed action to be taken on this topic?

Allowing Java User Group use of Jakarta EE, and use of the brand more generally (not discussed)

- The Steering Committee generally supports use of the Jakarta EE brand in this manner, and has recommended creating some structured process around it.

- The Eclipse Foundation has drafted an Agreement which could be used with JUGs, not yet a program for operationalizing this.
- Similar question came up in the context of "Starter Project for Jakarta EE". This is approved.
  - The request to the Steering Committee should then be to formulate some guidelines as to when it is ok to call a project "Jakarta EE <something>" and when does it have to be "<something> for Jakarta EE".
- **From last time**: Dan met with Wayne on this topic. Will come back with a recommendation in the Jan 21 Steering Committee meeting.
- Wayne and Dan continue to work on this and confirmed they will have an update next week.