

Spec Committee Agenda September 4th, 2019

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu, **Michael DeNicola**

Dan Bandera - IBM, **Kevin Sutter**, Alasdair Nottingham

Bill Shannon - Oracle, **Ed Bratt**, **Dmitry Kornilov**, Will Lyons

Steve Millidge - Payara

Scott Stark - Red Hat, Mark Little, Antoine Sabot-Durand

David Blevins - Tomitribe, Richard Monson-Haefel, Jean-Louis Monterio

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Alex Theedom - Participant Member

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Wayne Beaton**, **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck**, Mike Milinkovich,
Christopher Guindon

Past business / action items:

- Minutes from August 21st meeting were approved.
- Approval of the minutes from August 28th meeting (deferred to next meeting).

Agenda:

- Review process/steps for updating spec project pages upon ballot completion (carry over topic from 09/03 Steering Committee call)

Note: It was decided to proceed to item 2 in the agenda as it was the outstanding item for updating spec project pages.

- Eclipse WebDev synch up: Publishing to jakarta.ee: spec project pages and from spec committee repo
 - Refer to <https://jakarta.ee/specifications/>

Note: Chris Guindon from the Eclipse WebDev team explained process for updating spec pages and demoed the usage Netlify for preview of staged page updates.

- Active topics from the mailing list:
 - Open Liberty compatibility issues - inconsistency between the JSF spec & JavaDoc
 - Status quo is that Open Liberty and possibly other Java EE 8 application servers do not pass 4 JSF tests and JSON-B tests.

JSF test failures to be accepted as listed in the challenge.

Provide the exact tests and signatures. Alasdair to provide.

<https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/faces-api/issues/1474>

JSONB are accepted - update the exclude list.

<https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/jsonb-api/issues/180>

- **Motion:** Move to accept to remove 4 JSF signature tests for Jakarta EE 8
 - Oracle -1
 - IBM +1
 - Fujitsu +1
 - Tomitribe +1
 - Red Hat +1
 - Werner +1
 - Ivar +1
 - Alex not present
 - Steve not present

Super majority - Motion carried

- **Motion:** Accept challenge to JSON-B exclude
 - Oracle 0
 - IBM +1
 - Fujitsu +1
 - Tomitribe +1
 - Red Hat +1
 - Werner +1
 - Ivar 0
 - Alex not present
 - Steve not present

Motion not carried. JSON-B project to be consulted to honor the TCK challenge and to publish a new version of the TCK with updated exclude list.

Special Addendum Note added based on discussion at the September 25th Specification Committee call: Based on the Eclipse Foundation bylaws referenced in September 25th minutes, the above vote was incorrectly recorded as “Motion not carried”. According to the bylaws the motion should have carried. The result will not be revised since the project proceeded based on the original outcome recorded in the September 4th call.

Proposal that was discussed and accepted:

Plan A - Rebuild JSON-B TCK with the above excludes and notify the spec comm on the mailing list. Open Liberty to rerun the tests.

Plan B - Publish an exclude list that can be used against the existing TCK. Operational guide to be updated to reflect this process. To be resolved on Friday at 9:00AM Pacific Time on an interim call if required.

The following items were not discussed. We ran out of time on the call.

- Consistent naming for TCK zip files
- TCK URL inconsistencies
- Specification ballots, merge status & progress w/ on ballot completion checklists:
 - Refer to [Specification Project PR assignments and plan](#)
- Certification requests for projects without a separate TCK's eg. Jakarta Enterprise Beans
For now some specifications rely on the Platform TCK.
CR are required to be approved by the specification project by referring to the results of the Platform TCK.